10/542.551

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

L	REC'D	17	OCT	2005
L	WIPO			PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file	e reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION ·	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
27060						
International application No.		International filing date (d	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IL04/00047		15 January 2004 (15.01.20		16 January 2003 (16.01.2003)		
International Patent Class	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
	33, 15/87, 15/90	and US C1.: 800/278, 285, 2	.86			
Applicant						
BIO-OZ ADVANCED B						
This report     Examining A	is the internat Authority unde	tional preliminary examinar Article 35 and transmitted	nation report, establi ed to the applicant ac	ished by this International Preliminary coording to Article 36.		
2. This REPOI	RT consists of	a total of Sheets, inclu	ding this cover sheet	t.		
<ol><li>This report i</li></ol>	is also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, con	prising:			
a. [] (sent	to the applica	ant and to the Internationa	l Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:		
	this report as		ectifications authoriz	we been amended and are the basis of ged by this Authority (see Rule 70.16		
	that goes bey			ority considers contain an amendment ation as filed, as indicated in item 4 of		
ind	, containii	ng a sequence listing and Supplemental Box Re	d/or tables related	and number of electronic carrier(s)) thereto, in electronic form only, as a Listing (see Section 802 of the		
4. This report	contains indica	ations relating to the follo	wing items:			
⊠ Box	No. I B	asis of the report				
Box	No. II P	riority				
⊠ Box		Ion-establishment of opini pplicability	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial		
Box	No. IV L	ack of unity of invention				
Вох				n regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement		
Box	No. VI C	ertain documents cited				
⊠ Box	No. VII C	Certain defects in the interr	national application	·		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on th		ertain observations on the	international applica	ation		
Date of submission of	the demand		Date of completion	of this report		
09 August 2004 (09.08.2	09 August 2004 (09.08.2004)		31 August 2005 (31.0	08.2005)		
Name and mailing addre	ss of the IPEA/	US	Authorized officer	1 1 1 1 1		
Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for			1 South	a pauliena for		
P.O. Box 1450				- V		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230			Telephone No. 571-2	272-1600		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)

International application No.	
PCT/IL04/00047	

Bo	x No	. I Basis of the report				
1.	1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:					
	$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed.				
		a translation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))				
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))				
2.	to the	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not xed to this report):				
	$\boxtimes$	the international application as originally filed/furnished				
	$\boxtimes$	the description:				
		pages 1-23 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:				
		pages 24-26 as originally filed/furnished				
		pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:				
		pages 1 as originally filed/furnished				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on				
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.				
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages				
		the claims, Nos				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):				
	_					
4.	Ш	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		the description, pages				
		the claims, Nos.				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):				
1						
		m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded." T/IPEA/409 (Box No. D. (April 2005)				

1	nterna	tional	app	olice	ation	No	)

PCT/IL04/00047

Box No.	Mon-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The ques	stions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be illy applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
. 🛛	claims Nos. <u>6-25</u>
	because:
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 22-25 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
The claim	is attempt to limit a method of previous claim 21. However, claim 21 is directed to a product, not a method.
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 6-21
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing, the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter. 1(a) or (b) and 13ter. 2.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details
DOM:	DEA/400 (D N HD (A - 11000 C)

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/IL04/00047

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article applicability; citations and explan	e 35(2) wi nations su	th regard to novelty, inventive step or in pporting such statement	ndustrial
1. Statement	·		
Novelty (N)	Claims	3,5	YES
		1, 2, 4	
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
• ( )		1-5	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claime	1.5	YES
moustain replicationity (173)		1-5 NONE	
Claims 1, 2, and 4 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) Brignetti et al. teach the suppression of silenci mechanically inoculated with potato virus Y, cucumber systemically infected the plants (pages 6739-67u43). V. Nicotiana benthamiana plants that were mechanically in plants (pages 14148-14151).  Claims 3 and 5 lack an inventive step under PCT Article Karasawa et al Gal-On et al.  Brignetti et al. and Voinnet et al. are discussed Brignetti et al. and Voinnet et al. do not teach Karasawa et al. teach a wirulent strains of CMV Gal-On et al. teach a method of inoculating ple bombardment technology is 10 <sup>6</sup> -fold more effective than It would have been obvious and within the sec expression of a silenced transgene in plants of Brignetti the avirulent CMV strains taught by Karasawa et al. On disease symptoms in the host plant. It would also have to Gal-On et al. to inoculate the plants. One would have mechanical inoculation.  Claims 1-5 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and made or used in industry.  NEW CITATIONS  NEW CITATIONS	ing of a GFI mosaic viru oinnet et al. oculated with a 33(3) as be d above. avirulent vi / (pages 11 ants with pl n mechanic ppe of one of et al. or Vo the would hat been obvious been motifications	P transgene in transgenic Nicotiana benthamia: is, or recombinant PVX-PVY or PVX-CMV we teach the suppression of silencing of a GFP transfer in the variety of plant viruses. The viruses system in a variety of plant viruses. The viruses system in a variety of plant viruses. The viruses system in a variety of plant viruses. The viruses system in a viruses or viral inoculation using an inoculation (86-1190). In antiviruses using particle bombardment. Calculation (pages 3223-3226). If ordinary skill in the art to modify the method innet et al., by inoculating the plants with an avec been motivated to do so, as the virus would us to modify the method by using the particle by a variety to do so, as Gal-On et al. teach that it is a variety of the suppression of silencing the particle by a variety of the superior of the superi	ectors. Viruses ansgene in transgenic emically infected the tal. in view of gun.  On et al. assert that d of increasing virulent virus, such as not cause systemic combardment method more efficient than

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/IL04/00047

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
The following	efects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:		
Claim 4 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: the claim does not end with a period punctuation mark.			
	•		
	•		
рутловал	P (Box No. VII) (April 2005)		

International application No.

PCT/IL04/00047

#### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-5 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 1 and 3 are indefinite for the following reason(s):

In claim 1: the recitation, "an expression" renders the claim indefinite. The article, "an" suggests that there is more than one type of expression. However, it is not clear what other types of expression of polynucleotide sequences are being referred to. In claim 1: the recitation, "selected capable of" renders the claim indefinite. The recitation is confusing, and its meaning is not exactly clear.

In claim 3: the recitation, "avirulent virus" renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear exactly when a virus is to be considered avirulent. Page 10 of the description states, "Preferably, the virus is avirulent and this is incapable of causing severe symptoms, such as reduced growth rate, mosaic, ring spots, leaf roll, yellowing, streaking, pox formation, tumor formation and pitting." However, the recitation, "severe" is a relative term that has not definite meaning. It is unclear when a symptom should not be considered "severe." While examples of severe symptoms are provided, this list is incomplete, as indicated by the recitation, "such as". It is unclear what other symptoms are to be considered severe.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VIII) (April 2005)